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## PART I

## Rapid Gender Analysis Report

## Background:

Gender and Development has become the development framework in the country and awareness on its gains and impact remain negligible in spite of government efforts. Unknowingly, the nature and the volume of work have been insensitive to the plight of government workers, more so devastating by ignoring the roles of women in their respective homes, communities and workplaces.

The CSC RO VIII, recognizing that issues and concerns can further exacerbate existing vulnerabilities and gender norms, seeks to better understand the gender dynamics at the individual level in order to improve the design of its interventions and build on the strengths and capacities of the men and women in the regional office. To help achieve this aim, the GAD Focal Points System developed a customized participatory Rapid Gender Assessment (RGA) approach, allowing for a diverse group of staff to collect information quickly from a sample of at least $30 \%$ of the employees. The RGA focused on the awareness, knowledge, notion and perception of any changing gender dynamics in the workplace.

## Purpose:

To learn, explore and better understand the gender dynamics within the CSC RO VIII.

## Objectives:

To better understand and identify:

- The awareness of women and men on gender and development
- The extent of knowledge, perception and notion of men and women on the role of the GAD FPS, their units' share of responsibility in gender equity
- To identify emerging opportunities to shift rigid gender and social norms to policies, enabling mechanisms and more people-focused initiatives.


## Goal:

To increase the capacity of the GAD FPS (and its partners) in exploring the realities faced by CSC men and women and design impact driven participatory emergency response interventions.

## Methodology the GAD Field conducted:

- Discrete interviews, discussions and observations
- Resource mapping - infra, assets, existing resources, talents and participation
- Customized survey questionnaire


## Collected data using these three tools on the following themes:

1. Sex-wise Profile of respondents
2. Position profile of respondents
3. Base work of respondents
4. Awareness of organizations policies, tools and methods
5. Knowledge on the role and mandate of Gender FPS
6. Notion of shared responsibility of management in the implementation of gender equality initiatives
7. Perception on gender mainstreaming
8. Knowledge on allocation of importance, time and resources
9. Observation that people and management considers gender to be important
10. Observation that needs assessment, including gender considerations, has been conducted in a participatory way for programs and projects.
11.Knowledge that men and women's different needs are taken into account in a participatory way
11. Other gender issues and concerns

The data collected was limited to percentages, summations and trendings in order to focus deeply on knowledge, awareness and perspectives of the respondents on gender-specific vulnerabilities, needs and capacities in relation to the CSC RO VIII workplace.

## Validation workshop:

Following the data collection, analysis of the findings and proposed recommendations for current and future interventions in terms of internal customized policies and mechanisms. Likewise, data collected was presented to people during the Interpose Activity (November 6, 2017) and the Management Committee Conference on November 13, 2017.

## PART II

## DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS

The Key findings of all the areas are summarized in this section.

## I. The Profile of Respondents

| TABEL 1. Sex Distribution of Respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Male | 13 | $46.43 \%$ |
| Female | 15 | $53.57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 28 | $100 \%$ |

There was a total of 28 of $52 \%$ respondents of the human resource complement of Region VIII responded to the customized survey tool. Retrieved were 13 or $46 \%$ men and 15 or $53 \%$ women respondents. The respondents were indeed womendominated.

| TABLE 2. Position-Wise Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Positions | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Senior Management Team | 6 | $21.43 \%$ |
| Program Staff | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Administrative Staff | 9 | $32.14 \%$ |
| Technical Staff | 8 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Field Staff | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Other, pls specify | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 28 | $100 \%$ |

Six or $21 \%$ were senior management respondents, 9 or $32.14 \%$ were administrative staff, 8 or $28.57 \%$ were technical staff, 3 or $10.71 \%$ were field personnel, and 1 or $3.57 \%$ was a program staff.

| TABLE 3. Base Work |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Central Office | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Field Office | 7 | $27 \%$ |
| Regional Office | 19 | $73 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

19 or $73 \%$ of the respondents were assigned at the regional office while 7 or $27 \%$ were assigned at various field offices. This figure can be attributed to the location of most employees assigned at the regional office.

| TABLE 4. Awareness of GAD Policies, Methods, Tools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Responses | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Strongly Agree | 8 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Agree | 18 | $64.29 \%$ |
| No Opinion | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 28 | $100 \%$ |

18 or $64.29 \%$ agreed that they are aware of organizational policies, tools and methods for gender sensitive work.

Meanwhile, 8 or $28.57 \%$ responded strongly agreed on such awareness.
Only 1 or $3.57 \%$ strongly disagreed to have such awareness, while 1 or $3.57 \%$ did not know at all.

| TABLE 5. Knowledge of the Role and Mandate of Gender FPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest Extent | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 1 \%}$ |
| Great Extent | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 2 6 \%}$ |
| Moderate Extent | 3 | $11.11 \%$ |
| Limited Extent | 3 | $1111 \%$ |
| Not At Al | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 3 | $11.11 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

16 or $59.26 \%$ responded that they have knowledge to a great extent on the role and mandate of the GAD FPS, while only 2 or $7.41 \%$ were fully extent knowledgeable. Meanwhile, 3 or $11 \%$ were moderately knowledgeable.

| TABLE 6.Notion that Respondent's Unit has a Share of Responsibility in the <br> Implementation of Gender Equality Initiatives <br> Responses <br> No. of Respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fullest Extent | 6 | Percentage |
| Great Extent | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $21.43 \%$ |
| Moderate Extent | 5 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 3 \%}$ |
| Limited Extent | 3 | $17.86 \%$ |
| Not At All | $\mathbf{1}$ | $10.71 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 0 | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7 \%}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $0 \%$ |

13 or $46.43 \%$ responded that they have the notion to a great extent that his/her unit/division had a share in the implementation of gender equality initiatives. 6 or $21.43 \%$ had the notion to the fullest extent while 5 or 17.86 responded moderately extent, and 3 or $10.71 \%$ responded limited extent only and 1 or $3.57 \%$ said not at all.

| TABLE 7. Perception on Gender Mainstreaming in the Design of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programs/Projects |  |  |$|$| Responses | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fullest Extent | 6 | $21.43 \%$ |
| Great Extent | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8 6 \%}$ |
| Moderate Extent | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |
| Limited Extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Not AA All | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7 \%}$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Only 12 or $42 \%$ perceived to a great extent on gender mainstreaming; 6 or $21.43 \%$ fullest extent; while 5 or $17.86 \%$ Moderately Extent; 2 or 7.14\%; Limited extent and 1 or $3.57 \%$ Not at all. There were 2 or $7.14 \%$ responded that they Did not know gender mainstreaming at all.

| Table 8. Knowledge that <br>  <br> Reeds Assessment has been Conducted in a <br> Participatory Way |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ro. of Respondents | Percentage |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly agree | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Agree | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 4 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| No opinion | 4 | $14.29 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Strongly disagree | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table explains that sixteen (16) or $57.14 \%$ of the respondents have agreed that needs assessment, including gender considerations, has been conducted in a participatory way for the programs/projects. However, one (1) respondent or $3.57 \%$ has strongly disagreed.

| TABLE 9. Respondent's Knowledge that Men and Women's Different Needs Take |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Into Account in a Participatory Way in the Programs/Projects |  |  |
| Responses | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest Extent | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7 1 \%}$ |
| Great Extent | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8 6 \%}$ |
| Moderate Extent | 10 | $35.71 \%$ |
| Limited Extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Not At All | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that twelve (12) or $42.86 \%$ of the respondents have great extent of knowledge that men and women's different needs take into account in a participatory way in the programs/projects. But only one (1) respondent or $3.57 \%$ has the fullest extent of knowledge.

| TABLE 10. Respondent's Awareness that Gender-Specific Objectives or <br> Considerations are Included in the Projects/Programs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Responses | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Strongly Agree | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Agree | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| No Opinion | 4 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7 \%}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table depicts that twenty-one (21) or $75.00 \%$ of the respondents have agreed that gender-specific objectives or considerations are included in the programs/projects. While one (1) respondent or $3.57 \%$ have answered 'do not know/not applicable'.

| TABLE 11. Respondent's Knowledge that Gender Tools are Used in the <br> Programs/Projects and that are also Applied in the Field |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Responses | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Frequently | 7 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Regularly | 7 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Occasionally | 7 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Seldom | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Never | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table represents that seven (7) respondents or $25.00 \%$ have frequently known that gender tools are used in the program/projects and that are also applied in the field. Another seven (7) respondents or $25.00 \%$ have regularly known that gender tools are used in the programs/projects and that are also applied in the field. Two respondents have not answered the survey question.

| TABLE 12. Respondent's Knowledge that Female Field Staff are Instructed to <br> Target Female Beneficiaries in the Field Activities Pertaining to the <br> Programs/Projects |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Responses | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Strongly Agree | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Agree | 8 | $28.57 \%$ |
| No Opinion | 11 | $39.29 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | 7 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Do Not Know/Not Applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table illustrates that eleven (11) or $39.29 \%$ of the respondents have no opinion about female field staff are instructed to target female beneficiaries in the field activities pertaining to the programs/projects. There are two respondents or $7.14 \%$ have answered 'do not know/not applicable'.

## II. MONITORING AND EVALUATION

| TABLE 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Responses | Total | Yes | Percentage | No | Percentage | Know/Not Not <br> Applicable | Percentage |
| Income | 26 | 7 | $26.92 \%$ | 5 | $19.23 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $53.85 \%$ |
| Access to <br> resources | 26 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1 5 \%}$ | 3 | $11.54 \%$ | 11 | $42.31 \%$ |
| Access to <br> training | 27 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7 8 \%}$ | 3 | $11.11 \%$ | 3 | $11.11 \%$ |
| Participation <br> in decision- <br> making | 27 | 19 | $\mathbf{7 0 . 3 7 \%}$ | 4 | $14.81 \%$ | 4 | $14.81 \%$ |
| Control over <br> resources | 26 | 10 | $38.46 \%$ | 4 | $15.38 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1 5 \%}$ |
| Beneficiary <br> perception <br> of project | 26 | 11 | $42.31 \%$ | 3 | $11.54 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1 5 \%}$ |

The table shows that fourteen (14) or $53.85 \%$ of respondents do not know that the organization's program/projects collect gender disaggregated data in terms of income; twelve (12) or $46.15 \%$ of respondents have the perception to the accessibility to resources; twenty-one (21) or $77.78 \%$ of respondents have the perception to the accessibility to training; nineteen (19) or $70.37 \%$ of the respondents have the perception in the participation in decision-making;

TABLE 13. RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION THAT THE GENDER-DISAGGREGATED DATA IS USED TO CONDUCT GENDER ANALYSIS AND REFINE PROGRAM STRATEGIES

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fullest extent | 3 | $11.11 \%$ |
| Great extent | 8 | $29.63 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 9 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 3 | $11.11 \%$ |
| Not at all | 1 | $3.70 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 3 | $11.11 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that nine (9) or $33.33 \%$ of the respondents have perceived in a moderate extent that the gender-disaggregated data is used to conduct gender analysis and refine program strategies. While one (1) respondent have answered 'not at all'. One (1) respondent have not answered the survey question.

| TABLE 14. RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION OF THE GENDER IMPACT OF <br> PROJECTS/PROGRAMS IS EVALUATED FOR BOTH MEN AND WOMEN WITH <br> THEIR ROLES AND RESPNSIBILIIIES BEING CONSIDERED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Great extent | 11 | $39.29 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 8 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |
| Not at all | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $\mathbf{7 . 1 4 \%}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table illustrates that eleven (11) or $39.29 \%$ of the respondents have perceived in great extent that the gender impact of programs/projects is evaluated for both men and women with their roles \& responsibilities being considered. While two (2) or $7.14 \%$ have answered 'do not know/not applicable'.

Table 15 (Communication and Research)

| TABLE 15. RESPONDENT'S AWARENESS ON LESSONS LEARNED FROM <br> FIELD ACTIVITIES THAT ARE USED TO IMPROVE <br> PROGRAM STRATEGIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest extent | 4 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Great extent | 9 | $32.14 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 9 | $32.14 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Not at all | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table explains that the respondent's awareness on lessons learned from field activities that are used to improve program strategies including for gender are in great extent and moderate extent with nine (9) or $32.14 \%$ for each of the indicator. Two (2) respondents or $7.14 \%$ for each of the indicators (limited extent, not at all and do not know/not applicable) have answered.

| TABLE 16. RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION THAT HE HAS IN CONTACT <br> WITH THE GENDER FOCAL POINT/GENDER DEPARTMENT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Frequently | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Regularly | 7 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Occasionally | 11 | $39.29 \%$ |
| Seldom | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Never | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table displays that eleven (11) or $39.29 \%$ of the respondents have occasional contact with the gender focal point/gender department. However, two (2) respondents or $7.14 \%$ have answered 'never' and 'do not know/not applicable' for each of the indicator.

TABLE 17. PERCEPTION RESPONDENTS HAVE IN CONTACT WITH STAFF OR DIVISION WITHIN HIS ORGANIZATION IMPROVE GENDER LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES BY SHARING EXPERIENCES

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequently | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Regularly | 8 | $28.57 \%$ |
| Occasionally | 10 | $35.71 \%$ |
| Seldom | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Never | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table illustrated that ten (10) or $35.71 \%$ of the respondents have perceived that they have occasional contact with staff or division with their organization to improve gender learning opportunities by sharing experiences. However, two (2) respondents or $7.14 \%$ have answered 'frequently' and 'do not know/not applicable' for each of the indicator.

| TABLE 18. RESPONDENT'S KNOWLEDGE ON COLLABORATION <br> WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS TO SHARE LEARNINGS ON GENDER AND OTHER <br> TOPICS FOR CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING WOMEN'S RIGHTS <br> ORGANIZATIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator No. of Respondents Percentage <br> Frequently 2 $7.14 \%$ <br> Regularly 6 $21.43 \%$ <br> Occasionally 11 $39.29 \%$ <br> Seldom 4 $14.29 \%$ <br> Never 3 $10.71 \%$ <br> Do not know/not applicable 2 $7.14 \%$ <br> TOTAL $\mathbf{2 8}$ $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |  |

The table shows that eleven (11) or $39.29 \%$ of the respondents have occasional collaboration with other organization to share learnings on gender and other topics for capacity development, including women's rights organizations. However, two (2) respondents or $7.14 \%$ have answered 'frequently' and 'do not know/not applicable' for each of the indicator.
(HUMAN RESOURCES)

| TABLE 19. RESPONDENT'S AWARENESS THAT GENDER PARITY IN STAFF <br> HAS BEEN ACHIEVED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Strongly agree | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Agree | 20 | $71.43 \%$ |
| No opinion | 4 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table illustrates that twenty (20) or $71.43 \%$ of the respondents have agreed that gender parity in staff has been achieved in the organizational area. While one (1) respondent or $3.57 \%$ have answered 'do not know/ not applicable.

| TABLE 20. AWARENESS THAT GENDER CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCLUDING GENDER BALANCE OR GENDER EXPERTISE/EXPERIENCE |  |  |
| ARE INCLUDED IN THE RECRUITMENT POLICY IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL AREA |  |  |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest extent | 3 | $10.71 \%$ |
| Great extent | 13 | $46.43 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 4 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Not at all | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 4 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 9 \%}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table depicts that thirteen (13) or $46.43 \%$ of the respondents are aware in great extent that gender considerations including gender balance or gender expertise/experience are included in the recruitment policy in the organizational area. While two (2) respondents or $7.14 \%$ have answered 'limited extent' and 'not at all' for each of the indicator.

| TABLE 21. RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION THAT HE AND OTHER STAFF IN THE <br> ORGANIZATION HAVE RECEIVED TRAINING ON GENDER TOPICS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest extent | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |
| Great extent | 12 | $42.86 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 6 | $21.43 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Not at all | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that twelve (12) or $42.86 \%$ of the respondents have perceived in great extent that he and other staff in the organization have received training on gender topics such as sensitization or gender-sensitive extension together with other staff in the organization. While one (1) respondent answered "not at all".

| TABLE 22. <br> RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION THAT HE AND OTHER STAFF <br> HAVE KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS <br> THAT ALLOWS THEM TO WORK EFFECTIVELY ON GENDER ISSUES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest extent | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |
| Great extent | 12 | $42.86 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 6 | $21.43 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Not at all | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table illustrates that twelve (12) or $42.86 \%$ of the respondents have perceived in great extent that he and other staff in the organization have knowledge and skills that allows them to work effectively on gender issues. While one (1) respondent or $3.57 \%$ have answered 'not at all'.

| TABLE 23. PERCEPTION THAT HE AND OTHER STAFF HAVE KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS <br> THAT ALLOWS THEM TO ADDRESS TOPICS OF PARTICULAR INTEREST TO WOMEN IN <br> EXTENSION WORK |
| :--- |
| Indicator |
| Fullest extent |
| Great extent |
| No. of Respondents |
| Moderate extent |
| Limited extent |
| Not at all |

The table represents that eleven (11) or $39.29 \%$ of the respondents have perceived to a great extent that he and other staff in the organization have knowledge and skills that allows them to address topics of particular interest to women in extension work. Same is through with the eleven (11) or $39.29 \%$ of the respondents have perceived in a moderate extent. One respondent or $3.57 \%$ to each of the indicators have answered 'not at all' and 'do not know/ not applicable'.

| TABLE 24. AWARENESS THAT THERE IS ONE OR SEVERAL STAFF <br> HAS PARTICULAR EXPERTISE ON GENDER ISSUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Fullest extent | 2 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Great extent | 10 | $35.71 \%$ |
| Moderate extent | 10 | $35.71 \%$ |
| Limited extent | 4 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Not at all | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Do not know/not applicable | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table represents that ten (10) or $35.71 \%$ of the respondents have perceived to a great extent that he and other staff in the organization have knowledge and skills that allows them to address topics of particular interest to women in extension work. Same is through with the ten (10) or $35.71 \%$ of the respondents have perceived in a moderate extent.

## GENDER ANALYSIS ON HEALTH

| TABLE 25. RESPONDENT'S ANSWER AS TO HOW OFTEN THEY GET SICK/ILL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Everyday | 1 | $3.85 \%$ |
| Weekly | 2 | $7.69 \%$ |
| Once a month | 11 | $42.31 \%$ |
| Once a year | 12 | $46.15 \%$ |
| Not Applicable | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that twelve (12) or $46.15 \%$ of the respondents have answered that they often get sick/ill once year. However, one (1) respondent have checked three indicators while one (1) respondent have not answered the survey question.

| TABLE 26. ANSWER IF THEIR CONDITION IS ACUTE OR CHRONIC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Yes | 2 | $7.41 \%$ |
| No | 25 | $92.60 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that twenty-five (25) or $92.60 \%$ of the respondents have answered that their condition is not acute or chronic. While two (2) respondents have answered yes that their condition is acute or chronic. One respondent have not answered.

| TABLE 27. ANSWER WHETHER THEIR CONDITION IS COMMUNICABLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR A NON-COMMUNICABLE |  |  |

The table shows that twenty-one (21) or $77.78 \%$ of the respondents have answered that their condition is non-communicable. With remaining six (6) or $22.22 \%$ of the respondent have answered 'yes', communicable. One respondent have not answered.

| TABLE 28. RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION ON THE FACTORS <br> AFFECTING THE VULNERABILITY OF THEIR CONDITION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Biological factors | 11 | $57.90 \%$ |
| Socio-cultural factors | 3 | $15.79 \%$ |
| Gender norms, roles and <br> relations in the working <br> environment | 5 | $26.32 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Biological factors are affecting the vulnerability of the condition of the eleven (11) or $57.90 \%$ of the respondents. While three (3) respondents or $15.79 \%$ are affected by socio-cultural factors. Out of 28 respondents, five (5) respondents have answered at least two (2) indicators, two (2) respondents have answered three (3) indicators, and two (2) respondents have no answers.

| TABLE 29. PERCEPTON THAT THEIR CONDITION OCCURS IN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| Workplace | 13 | $72.22 \%$ |
| Field | 2 | $11.11 \%$ |
| Home | 3 | $16.67 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Thirteen (13) respondents or $72.22 \%$ perceive that their condition occurs in the workplace. The lowest percentage of respondents, two (2) or $11.11 \%$ believe that it occurs in the field. Eight (8) respondents have answered at least two indicators, One (1) respondent added 1 indicator not found in the list while one (1) respondent have not answered.

RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTION THAT DOING DAILY ACTIVITIES AFFECTS THEIR CONDITION VULNERABILITY

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 23 | $85.19 \%$ |
| No | 4 | $14.81 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that twenty-three (23) respondents or $85.19 \%$ perceive that doing daily activities affect their condition vulnerability. Four (4) respondents or $14.81 \%$ have said that it does not affect them. While one (1) respondent have no answer.

RESPONDENT'S ACTIONS WHEN THIS CONDITION OCCURS

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seek office doctor | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Go to hospital for a <br> check-up | 11 | $47.83 \%$ |
| Self-medicate | 11 | $47.83 \%$ |
| Not applicable | 1 | $4.35 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that eleven (11) respondents or $47.83 \%$ go to hospital for a checkup when their condition occurs. Self-medication also shows for the eleven (11) respondents or $47.83 \%$. One respondent have answered 'not applicable'. One (1) respondent have not answered the question while four (4) respondents have answered two indicators.
RESPONDENT'S PREFERENCE TO CONSULT WHEN THIS CONDITION OCCURS

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered medical <br> doctor | 20 | $80 \%$ |
| Traditional healer | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Alternative therapist | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Eighty percent or twenty (20) respondents have preferred to consult a registered medical doctor when their condition occurs. No respondent have answered for a traditional healer. Two respondents have answered 'NA or none of the above' which are not part of the indicators. One respondent have not answered the question.

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER IF THEY HAVE HEALTH CARE INSURANCE

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 19 | $70.37 \%$ |
| No | 8 | $29.63 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table represents that nineteen (19) respondents or $70.37 \%$ have answered that they have health care insurance. Eight (8) respondents or $29.63 \%$ have answered 'No'. While one respondent have no answer.

## RESPONDENT'S AWARENESS THAT HEALTH SERVICES FACILITY-BASED OR PROVIDED IN THE COMMUNITY

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 16 | $59.26 \%$ |
| No | 11 | $40.74 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table displays that sixteen (16) respondents or $59.26 \%$ are aware that health services facility-based or provided in their community. While eleven (11) respondents have answered 'No'. One respondent have not answered any of the indicators.

RESPONDENT'S ACCESSIBILITY TO THE RESOURCES TO SEEK HEALTH SERVICES

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 20 | $74.07 \%$ |
| No | 7 | $25.93 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table shows that twenty (20) or $74.07 \%$ of the respondents have access to the resources to seek health services. While $25.93 \%$ or seven (7) respondents have answered 'No'. There is one respondent who have not answered any of the indicators.

RESPONDENT'S PREFERENCE TO GIVE A PRESCRIPTION

| Indicator | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female doctor | 8 | $61.54 \%$ |
| Male doctor | 5 | $38.46 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The table explains that eight (8) respondents or $61.54 \%$ have preferred a female doctor to give a prescription while five (5) respondents or $38.46 \%$ have preferred a male doctor. There are twelve (12) respondents who preferred either of the two
sexes to give a prescription. While three (3) respondents have not answered the question.

## Recommendations

The following table outlines the key recommendations based on the Rapid Gender Analysis findings:

| Assessment | - Ensure gender balanced respondents, including women from all Field Offices <br> - Ensure sex and age disaggregated data in all assessment and M\&E <br> - Identification and inclusion of vulnerable groups such as the office millennials and seniors <br> - Plan for periodic RGAs <br> - Ensure that assessments specifically look at the prevalence of tolerance to counterproductive / harmful traditional practices and include risk analysis of the project. <br> - Ensure that the Gender Assessment Team are composed of both men and women and they are briefed and trained on the assessment tools <br> - Prioritize men and women with health issues. Employ a male and female medical retainer for common diseases. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project/ Activities | - Assistance targeting vulnerable employees needs to be prioritized as interventions <br> - Integrate psycho-social support activities in all learning and development interventions and where possible; <br> - Identify available agencies as partners for interventions ; <br> - Ensure there is a specific plan and adequate budget and plan for gender sensitive emergency interventions as well as a mechanism for preventing and responding to different forms of gender biases/marginalization/stereotyping. <br> - Expand the coverage of the existing Grievance Committee to GAD hearing mechanism. <br> - Encourage knowledge sharing/experiences in interpose activities |
| Coordinations | - Improved collaboration is needed between partner agencies |
| Target and Distribution | - Ensure gender-sensitive targeting for all activities that look at the specific needs of men and women <br> - stresses/challenges faced by the different gender and age groups <br> - GAD FP to explain targeting and selection approach from a gender point of view. <br> - Distribution of interventions should be planned carefully with both female and male participation. |

